

FOURIER MULTIPLIERS ON GRADED LIE GROUPS

VERONIQUE FISCHER AND MICHAEL RUZHANSKY

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study multipliers on graded nilpotent Lie groups defined via group Fourier transform. More precisely, we show that Hörmander type conditions on the Fourier multipliers imply L^p -boundedness. We express these conditions using difference operators and positive Rockland operators. We also obtain a more refined condition using Sobolev spaces on the dual of the group which are defined and studied in this paper.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Mihlin multiplier theorem [24, 25] states that if a function σ defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ has at least $[d/2] + 1$ continuous derivatives that satisfy

$$(1.1) \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, \quad |\alpha| \leq [d/2] + 1, \quad |\partial^\alpha \sigma(\xi)| \leq C_\alpha |\xi|^{-|\alpha|},$$

then the Fourier multiplier operator T_σ associated with σ , initially defined on Schwartz functions via

$$(1.2) \quad T_\sigma \phi := \mathcal{F}^{-1} \{ \widehat{\sigma \phi} \},$$

admits a bounded extension on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $1 < p < \infty$. Above $[t]$ is the integer part of t and $\mathcal{F}\phi = \widehat{\phi}$ denotes the Euclidean Fourier transform of a function ϕ . Hörmander improved the Mihlin multiplier theorem by showing [20] that a sufficient condition for T_σ to be bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the membership of σ locally uniformly to a Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $s > d/2$, that is,

$$(1.3) \quad \exists \eta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty), \quad \eta \not\equiv 0, \quad \sup_{r>0} \|\sigma(r \cdot) \eta(|\cdot|^2)\|_{H^s} < \infty.$$

If a multiplier satisfies the Hörmander condition in (1.3) with s near enough $d/2$, then it satisfies the Mihlin condition in (1.1). Anisotropic analogues of the Hörmander condition in (1.3) have been studied by Rivière [30].

In this paper, we present analogues of the Hörmander and Mihlin conditions in the context of Lie groups equipped with (anisotropic) dilations, and show that they imply the L^p -boundedness of the corresponding Fourier multiplier operators. In the context of (unimodular type 1) Lie groups, the Fourier multipliers are defined formally as in (1.2) but replacing the Euclidean Fourier transform with the group Fourier transform. A multiplier symbol σ is now a field of operators parametrised by the dual \widehat{G} of the group G . Any two multiplier symbols may not necessarily commute.

The L^p -multiplier problem has been extensively studied in various contexts. On Lie groups, a large part of these studies were primarily concerned with spectral multipliers of one (or several) operator such as a sub-Laplacian, see e.g. [1, 28] - with the difficult and still open question of the optimality of a Mihlin-Hörmander condition in terms of the topological or homogeneous dimensions [18, 27, 23] in the nilpotent case. Much fewer works were devoted to Fourier multipliers. The first

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 43A80, 43A22, 22E25.

Key words and phrases. Analysis on Lie groups, Fourier multipliers, graded nilpotent Lie groups.

The authors were supported by the EPSRC Grant EP/K039407/1. The second authors would also like to acknowledge the subsequent support by FWO Odysseus 1 grant G.0H94.18N: Analysis and Partial Differential Equations, EPSRC grant EP/R003025/1, and LEVERHULME grant RPG-2017-151.

study of Fourier multipliers on Lie groups goes back to 1971 with Coifman and Weiss' monograph [5] where they developed the Calderón-Zygmund theory in the setting of spaces of homogeneous types and as an application studied the Fourier multipliers of $SU(2)$, see also [6, 7]. But then the research into Fourier multipliers on compact Lie groups had been focused on the central multipliers [35, 36, 37, 38]. This was so until the recent results on Fourier multipliers on compact Lie groups by the second author and Jens Wirth [32, 33], and by the first author [13]. To the authors' knowledge, the rest of the literature on the L^p -multiplier problem for Fourier multipliers on Lie groups is restricted to the motion group (Rubin in 1976 [29]) and to the Heisenberg group stemming from the work of de Michele and Mauceri in 1979 [8].

As in the latter papers [32, 33, 13], our hypotheses are expressed using difference operators. The methods of proof rely on the Calderón-Zygmund theory adapted to the setting of spaces of homogeneous type as in [5], see also [30]. These methods are the classical approach for proving Fourier or spectral L^p -multiplier problems on nilpotent Lie groups. In the case of the Heisenberg group, our conditions recover and generalise the results in [8] when using the explicit description of the difference operators from [15, Section 6].

Multiplier theorems and other results on nilpotent Lie groups have a wealth of applications, see [31] for seminal results and motivation on analysis on nilpotent Lie groups, and [34] for the case of the Heisenberg group. Our Mihlin-Hörmander result was already used in [4] and may lead to further advances in understanding Besov spaces and their applications.

In this paper, we will give the analogues of both Mihlin and Hörmander-type conditions for the Mihlin-Hörmander multiplier theorem. The former is given in terms of difference operators on the unitary dual \widehat{G} of the group G which are analogues of derivatives with respect to dual variables in the case of \mathbb{R}^n . The latter is given in terms of Sobolev spaces on \widehat{G} that we will define and study in this paper. We will also see that Theorem 1.1 under Mihlin-type conditions is implied by the Hörmander-type condition of Theorem 1.2. The definitions of graded nilpotent Lie groups, homogeneous dimensions, dilations weights, Rockland operators, difference operators Δ^α , amongst others will be recalled in Section 2.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a graded nilpotent Lie group with homogeneous dimension Q . Let $\sigma = \{\sigma(\pi), \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ be a measurable field of operators in $L^\infty(\widehat{G})$. We assume that there exist a positive Rockland operator \mathcal{R} and an integer $N > Q/2$ divisible by the dilation weights such that for all $|\alpha| \leq N$ the following quantities are finite:*

$$(1.4) \quad \sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \|\pi(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha]}{\nu}} \Delta^\alpha \sigma\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \|\Delta^\alpha \sigma \pi(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha]}{\nu}}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)},$$

where ν is the degree of \mathcal{R} . Then the Fourier multiplier operator T_σ corresponding to σ is bounded on $L^p(G)$ for any $1 < p < \infty$. Furthermore,

$$\|T_\sigma\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^p(G))} \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \left(\sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \|\pi(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha]}{\nu}} \Delta^\alpha \sigma\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)} + \sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \|\Delta^\alpha \sigma \pi(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha]}{\nu}}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)} \right),$$

with $C = C_{p,G}$ independent of σ .

Theorem 1.1 applied to the abelian Euclidean setting, that is, $(\mathbb{R}^d, +)$ with the usual isotropic dilation with \mathcal{R} being the Laplace operator, yields the Mihlin theorem. It will also be the case for Theorem 1.2. Indeed in the Euclidean abelian setting, $\pi(\mathcal{R})$ is replaced with $|\xi|^2$ where ξ is the (Fourier) dual variable.

We now give the analogue of the Hörmander-type condition. In Definition 4.5 and subsequent discussion we introduce and investigate uniformly local right- and left- Sobolev spaces $H_{l.u.,R}^s(\widehat{G})$

and $H_{l.u.,L}^s(\widehat{G})$ on the unitary dual \widehat{G} , respectively. Using these spaces we can then define uniformly local Sobolev spaces on \widehat{G} by

$$H_{l.u.}^s(\widehat{G}) := H_{l.u.,R}^s(\widehat{G}) \cap H_{l.u.,L}^s(\widehat{G}),$$

with the norm

$$\|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,\eta,\mathcal{R}}^s} := \max \left(\|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R,\eta}^s}, \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,L,\eta}^s} \right),$$

depending on a choice of $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ and a positive Rockland operator \mathcal{R} , and in Proposition 4.6 we show that different choices of η and \mathcal{R} lead to equivalent norms. Then we have

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a graded nilpotent Lie group. Let $\sigma = \{\sigma(\pi), \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ be a measurable field of operators in $L^2(\widehat{G})$. If $\sigma \in H_{l.u.}^s(\widehat{G})$ for some $s > Q/2$, where Q is the homogeneous dimension of G , then the corresponding operator $T = T_\sigma$ is bounded on $L^p(G)$ for any $1 < p < \infty$. Furthermore,*

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^p(G))} \leq C \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,\eta,\mathcal{R}}^s},$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of σ but may depend on p, s, G and a choice of $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ and a positive Rockland operator \mathcal{R} .

Theorem 1.2 will be reformulated in Theorem 4.11 and its statement will be refined further in Corollary 4.12.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we recall the necessary material regarding the setting. In Section 3, we define and study Sobolev spaces on \widehat{G} . In Section 4, we present our Mihlin-Hörmander condition. In Section 5, we show the statements of the previous section.

Notation: $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ denotes the set of non-negative integers and $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ the set of positive integers. If \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 are two Hilbert spaces, we denote by $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ the Banach space of the bounded operators from \mathcal{H}_1 to \mathcal{H}_2 . If $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}$ then we write $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. We may allow ourselves to write $A \lesssim B$ when A is less than B up to a constant, and $A \asymp B$ when the quantity A and B are equivalent in the sense that there exists a constant such that $C^{-1}A \leq B \leq CA$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, after defining graded Lie groups, we recall their homogeneous structure, some general representation theory in this context as well as the definition and some properties of their Rockland operators.

2.1. Graded and homogeneous Lie groups. Here we recall briefly the definition of graded nilpotent Lie groups and their natural homogeneous structure. A complete description of the notions of graded and homogeneous nilpotent Lie groups may be found in [16, ch1] and [15, ch3].

We will be concerned with graded Lie groups G which means that G is a connected and simply connected Lie group whose Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} admits an \mathbb{N} -gradation $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_\ell$ where the \mathfrak{g}_ℓ , $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$, are vector subspaces of \mathfrak{g} , almost all equal to $\{0\}$, and satisfying $[\mathfrak{g}_\ell, \mathfrak{g}_{\ell'}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\ell+\ell'}$ for any $\ell, \ell' \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that the group G is nilpotent. Examples of such groups are the Heisenberg group and, more generally, all stratified Lie groups (which by definition correspond to the case \mathfrak{g}_1 generating the full Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}).

We construct a basis X_1, \dots, X_n of \mathfrak{g} adapted to the gradation, by choosing a basis $\{X_1, \dots, X_{n_1}\}$ of \mathfrak{g}_1 (this basis is possibly reduced to \emptyset), then $\{X_{n_1+1}, \dots, X_{n_1+n_2}\}$ a basis of \mathfrak{g}_2 (possibly \emptyset as well as the others) and so on. Via the exponential mapping $\exp_G : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$, we identify the points $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with the points $x = \exp_G(x_1 X_1 + \dots + x_n X_n)$ in G . Consequently we allow ourselves to denote by $C(G)$, $\mathcal{D}(G)$ and $\mathcal{S}(G)$ etc, the spaces of continuous functions, of smooth

and compactly supported functions or of Schwartz functions on G identified with \mathbb{R}^n , and similarly for distributions with the duality notation $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

This basis also leads to a corresponding Lebesgue measure on \mathfrak{g} and the Haar measure dx on the group G , hence $L^p(G) \cong L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The group convolution of two functions f and g , for instance integrable, is defined via

$$(f * g)(x) := \int_G f(y)g(y^{-1}x)dy.$$

The convolution is not commutative: in general, $f * g \neq g * f$, but the Young convolutions inequalities hold, so that we have

$$(2.1) \quad \|f * g\|_{L^r(G)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(G)} \|g\|_{L^q(G)}, \quad p, q, r \in [1, \infty], \quad 1 + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}.$$

The coordinate function $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G \mapsto x_j \in \mathbb{R}$ is denoted by x_j . More generally we define for every multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, $x^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$, as a function on G . Similarly we set $X^\alpha = X_1^{\alpha_1} X_2^{\alpha_2} \dots X_n^{\alpha_n}$ in the universal enveloping Lie algebra $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ of \mathfrak{g} .

For any $r > 0$, we define the linear mapping $D_r : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ by $D_r X = r^\ell X$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}_\ell$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is equipped with the family of dilations $\{D_r, r > 0\}$ and becomes a homogeneous Lie algebra in the sense of [16]. We re-write the set of integers $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_\ell \neq \{0\}$ into the increasing sequence of positive integers v_1, \dots, v_n counted with multiplicity, the multiplicity of \mathfrak{g}_ℓ being its dimension. In this way, the integers v_1, \dots, v_n become the weights of the dilations and we have $D_r X_j = r^{v_j} X_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, on the chosen basis of \mathfrak{g} , and we have $X_j \in \mathfrak{g}_{v_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. The associated group dilations are defined by

$$D_r(x) = r \cdot x := (r^{v_1} x_1, r^{v_2} x_2, \dots, r^{v_n} x_n), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G, \quad r > 0.$$

In a canonical way this leads to the notions of homogeneity for functions and operators. For instance the degree of homogeneity of x^α and X^α , viewed respectively as a function and a differential operator on G , is

$$[\alpha] = \sum_j v_j \alpha_j.$$

Indeed, let us recall that a vector of \mathfrak{g} defines a left-invariant vector field on G and, more generally, that the universal enveloping Lie algebra of \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic with the left-invariant differential operators; we keep the same notation for the vectors and the corresponding operators.

Recall that a *homogeneous quasi-norm* on G is a continuous function $|\cdot| : G \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ homogeneous of degree 1 on G which vanishes only at 0. This often replaces the Euclidean norm in the analysis on homogeneous Lie groups, for instance in the following well-known properties:

Proposition 2.1. (1) *Any homogeneous quasi-norm $|\cdot|$ on G satisfies a triangle inequality up to a constant:*

$$\exists C \geq 1 \quad \forall x, y \in G \quad |xy| \leq C(|x| + |y|).$$

It partially satisfies the reverse triangle inequality:

$$(2.2) \quad \forall b \in (0, 1) \quad \exists C = C_b \geq 1 \quad \forall x, y \in G \quad |y| \leq b|x| \implies ||xy| - |x|| \leq C|y|.$$

(2) *Any two homogeneous quasi-norms $|\cdot|_1$ and $|\cdot|_2$ are equivalent in the sense that*

$$\exists C > 0 \quad \forall x \in G \quad C^{-1}|x|_2 \leq |x|_1 \leq C|x|_2.$$

An example of a homogeneous quasi-norm is given via

$$(2.3) \quad |x|_{\nu_o} := \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{2\nu_o/v_j} \right)^{1/2\nu_o},$$

with ν_o a common multiple to the weights v_1, \dots, v_n .

We will use the Young inequalities together with the properties of quasi-norms in the following way:

Lemma 2.2. *Let $|\cdot|$ be a quasi-norm and let $s \geq 0$. We set $\omega_s = (1 + |\cdot|)^s$. Let p, q, r be as in Young's inequality in (2.1). Then if f and g are measurable functions, then the following inequality holds (with possibly unbounded quantities):*

$$\|\omega_s f * g\|_{L^r(G)} \leq C \|\omega_s f\|_{L^p(G)} \|\omega_s g\|_{L^q(G)},$$

where the constant C is independent on f, g but may depend on $s, G, |\cdot|$.

Proof. The triangular inequality (see Proposition 2.1) implies easily

$$(2.4) \quad \exists C = C_{s,|\cdot|} \quad \forall x, y \in G \quad \omega_s(x) \leq C \omega_s(xy^{-1}) \omega_s(y),$$

yielding $\omega_s(x)|f * g|(x) \leq C|\omega_s f| * |\omega_s g|$. We conclude with Young's inequality (see (2.1)). \square

Various aspects of analysis on G can be developed in a comparable way with the Euclidean setting sometimes replacing the topological dimension $n = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \dim \mathfrak{g}_\ell$ of the group G by its *homogeneous dimension*

$$Q := \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell \dim \mathfrak{g}_\ell = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_n.$$

For example, there is an analogue of polar coordinates on homogeneous groups with Q replacing n , see [16]:

$$(2.5) \quad \forall f \in L^1(G) \quad \int_G f(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathfrak{S}} f(ry) r^{Q-1} d\sigma(y) dr,$$

where σ is a (unique) positive Borel measure on the unit sphere $\mathfrak{S} := \{x \in G : |x| = 1\}$. This implies the following simple embeddings:

Corollary 2.3. *Let $|\cdot|$ be a fixed homogeneous quasi-norm on G . If $s > Q/2$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that for any measurable function f we have*

$$\|f\|_{L^1(G)} \leq C \|(1 + |\cdot|)^s f\|_{L^2(G)}$$

Moreover as long as $s - \epsilon > Q/2$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any measurable function f we have

$$\|(1 + |\cdot|)^\epsilon f\|_{L^1(G)} \leq C \|(1 + |\cdot|)^s f\|_{L^2(G)}$$

Proof of Corollary 2.3. By Cauchy-Schwartz' or Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\|(1 + |\cdot|)^\epsilon f\|_{L^1(G)} \leq C_{s,\epsilon} \|(1 + |\cdot|)^s f\|_{L^2(G)},$$

where $C_s := \|(1 + |\cdot|)^{-s+\epsilon}\|_{L^2(G)}$. Using the polar change of coordinates (2.5), C_s is finite for $s - \epsilon > Q/2$. \square

We will need an L^1 -mean value property:

Lemma 2.4. *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $h \in G$ and any $f \in C^1(G)$ we have*

$$\|f - f(\cdot h)\|_{L^1(G)} \leq C \sum_{\ell=1}^n |h|^{v_\ell} \|X_\ell f\|_{L^1(G)},$$

and

$$\|f - f(h \cdot)\|_{L^1(G)} \leq C \sum_{\ell=1}^n |h|^{v_\ell} \|\tilde{X}_\ell f\|_{L^1(G)}.$$

In this paper, if $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, then we keep the same notation X for the left invariant vector field while \tilde{X} denotes the right invariant vector field, that is, we have for any function $f \in C^\infty(G)$ and $x \in G$:

$$Xf(x) = \frac{d}{ds}\big|_{s=0} f(x \exp_G(sX)) \quad \text{while} \quad \tilde{X}f(x) = \frac{d}{ds}\big|_{s=0} f(\exp_G(sX)x).$$

We adapt the argument of [16, Mean Value Theorem 1.33] and [15, §3.1.8].

Proof of Lemma 2.4. Any $h \in G$ may be written as

$$h = h_1 \dots h_n \quad \text{with} \quad h_\ell := \exp(t_\ell X_\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad |t_\ell| \leq C|h|^{1/v_\ell}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - f(h \cdot)\|_{L^1(G)} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \int_G |f(h_j h_{j+1} \dots h_n x) - f(h_{j+1} \dots h_n x)| dx \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{G \times [0, t_j]} |\tilde{X}_j f(\exp(sX_j) h_{j+1} \dots h_n x)| dx ds \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{G \times [0, t_j]} |\tilde{X}_j f(y)| dy ds = \sum_{j=1}^n |t_j| \int_G |\tilde{X}_j f(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

This shows the right case and the left case is similar. \square

2.2. The dual of G and the Plancherel theorem. Here we set some notation and recall some properties regarding the representations of the group G , especially the Plancherel theorem, and its enveloping Lie algebra $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. The (very) general theory may be found in [10], for a description more adapted to our particular context, see [15, ch1]. Note that we will not use the orbit method [9].

In this paper, we always assume that the representations of the group G are strongly continuous and acting on separable Hilbert spaces. For a unitary representation π of G , we keep the same notation for the corresponding infinitesimal representation which acts on the universal enveloping algebra $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ of the Lie algebra of the group. It is characterised by its action on \mathfrak{g} :

$$(2.6) \quad \pi(X) = \partial_{t=0} \pi(e^{tX}), \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The infinitesimal action acts on the space \mathcal{H}_π^∞ of smooth vectors, that is, the space of vectors $v \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$ such that the function $G \ni x \mapsto \pi(x)v \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$ is of class C^∞ .

For a unitary representation π and any $f \in L^1(G)$, we define the operator

$$\pi(f) = \int_G f(x) \pi(x)^* dx.$$

One checks easily

$$(2.7) \quad \|\pi(f)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)} \leq \|f\|_{L^1(G)}.$$

We denote by \widehat{G} the set of classes of unitary irreducible representations modulo unitary equivalence, see [10] or [15]. It is a standard Borel space (i.e. a separable complete metrisable topological space equipped with the sigma-algebra generated by the open sets).

From now on, we may identify an unitary irreducible representation with its class in \widehat{G} . This leads to the notion of *group Fourier transform* for a function $f \in L^1(G)$ at $\pi \in \widehat{G}$.

$$\pi(f) \equiv \widehat{f}(\pi) \equiv \mathcal{F}_G(f)(\pi).$$

The Plancherel measure is the unique positive Borel standard measure μ on \widehat{G} such that for any $f \in C_c(G)$, we have

$$\int_G |f(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\widehat{G}} \|\mathcal{F}_G(f)(\pi)\|_{HS(\mathcal{H}_\pi)}^2 d\mu(\pi).$$

Here $\|\cdot\|_{HS(\mathcal{H}_\pi)}$ denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm on the space $HS(\mathcal{H}_\pi) \sim \mathcal{H}_\pi \otimes \mathcal{H}_\pi^*$ of Hilbert-Schmidt operators on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_π . This implies that the group Fourier transform extends unitarily from $L^1(G) \cap L^2(G)$ to $L^2(G)$ onto

$$L^2(\widehat{G}) := \int_{\widehat{G}} \mathcal{H}_\pi \otimes \mathcal{H}_\pi^* d\mu(\pi),$$

which we identify with the space of μ -square integrable fields on \widehat{G} . The Plancherel formula may be rephrased as

$$(2.8) \quad \|f\|_{L^2(G)} = \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})}.$$

The orbit method furnishes an expression for the Plancherel measure μ , see [9, Section 4.3]. However we will not need this here.

The general theory on locally compact unimodular group of type I applies [10]: let $\mathcal{L}(L^2(G))$ be the space of bounded linear operators on $L^2(G)$ and let $\mathcal{L}_L(L^2(G))$ be the subspace of those operators $T \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(G))$ which are left-invariant, that is, commute with the left translation:

$$T(f(g \cdot))(g_1) = (Tf)(gg_1), \quad f \in L^2(G), \quad g, g_1 \in G.$$

Then there exists a field of bounded operators $\widehat{T}(\pi) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$, $\pi \in \widehat{G}$, such that

$$\forall f \in L^2(G) \quad \mathcal{F}_G(Tf)(\pi) = \widehat{T}(\pi) \widehat{f}(\pi) \quad \text{for } \mu - \text{almost all } \pi \in \widehat{G}.$$

Moreover the operator norm of T is equal to

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(G))} = \sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \|\widehat{T}(\pi)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)}.$$

The supremum here has to be understood as the essential supremum with respect to the Plancherel measure μ . By the Schwartz kernel theorem, any operator $T \in \mathcal{L}_L(L^2(G))$ is a convolution operator and we denote by $T\delta_0 \in \mathcal{S}'(G)$ its convolution kernel: $Tf = f * (T\delta_0)$, $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$. One may extend the definition of the group Fourier transform to these distributions via $\mathcal{F}_G\{T\delta_0\} = \widehat{T}(\pi)$.

Denoting by $L^\infty(\widehat{G})$ the space of fields of operators $\sigma_\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$, $\pi \in \widehat{G}$, with

$$\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})} := \sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \|\sigma_\pi\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)} < \infty,$$

modulo equivalence under the Plancherel measure μ , we have obtained that $T \in \mathcal{L}_L(L^2(G))$ implies $\{\mathcal{F}_G\{T\delta_0\} = \widehat{T}(\pi), \pi \in \widehat{G}\} \in L^\infty(\widehat{G})$. Conversely, to any field $\sigma = \{\sigma_\pi, \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ in $L^\infty(\widehat{G})$, we associate the *Fourier multiplier operator* T_σ via

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{F}_G\{T_\sigma(\phi)\}(\pi) = \sigma_\pi \widehat{\phi}(\pi), \quad \phi \in L^2(G).$$

The Plancherel formula implies that $T_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_L(L^2(G))$ with operator norm bounded by $\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})}$. As recalled above, the operator norm is in fact equal to the $L^\infty(\widehat{G})$ -norm of σ . Thus we have obtained the isometric isomorphism of von Neumann algebras

$$\begin{cases} L^\infty(\widehat{G}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}_L(L^2(G)) \\ \sigma & \longmapsto & T_\sigma \end{cases}$$

with inverse given via $\sigma = \mathcal{F}_G\{T_\sigma\delta_0\}$.

2.3. Rockland operators. Here we recall the definition of Rockland operators and their main properties.

Definition 2.5. A *Rockland operator* \mathcal{R} on G is a left-invariant differential operator on G which is homogeneous of positive degree and such that for each unitary irreducible non-trivial representation π on G , the operator $\pi(\mathcal{R})$ is injective on \mathcal{H}_π^∞ , that is,

$$\forall v \in \mathcal{H}_\pi^\infty \quad \pi(\mathcal{R})v = 0 \implies v = 0.$$

Although the definition of a Rockland operator would make sense on a homogeneous Lie group (in the sense of [16]), it turns out that the existence of a (differential) Rockland operator on a homogeneous group implies that the homogeneous group may be assumed to be graded (cf. [26, 11], see also [15, Proposition 4.1.3]). This explains why we have chosen the setting of graded Lie groups for this paper. Helffer and Nourrigat proved [19] the Rockland conjecture, that is, that Rockland operators are all the hypoelliptic left-invariant differential operators on a given graded Lie group. Hence Rockland operators may be viewed as analogues of elliptic operators or more generally hypoelliptic operator (with any degree of homogeneity) in a non-abelian context.

Some authors may have different conventions than ours regarding Rockland operators: for instance some choose to consider right-invariant operators and some consider operators which are not necessarily homogeneous. However, the choice of conventions does not interfere with the study of the objects in themselves.

Example 2.6. In the stratified case, one can check easily that any (left-invariant negative) *sub-Laplacian*, that is

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{L} = Z_1^2 + \dots + Z_{n'}^2 \quad \text{with } Z_1, \dots, Z_{n'} \text{ forming any basis of the first stratum } \mathfrak{g}_1,$$

is a Rockland operator.

Example 2.7. On any graded group G , it is not difficult to see that the operator

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{\frac{\nu_o}{v_j}} c_j X_j^{2\frac{\nu_o}{v_j}} \quad \text{with } c_j > 0,$$

is a Rockland operator of homogeneous degree $2\nu_o$ if ν_o is any common multiple of v_1, \dots, v_n .

Hence Rockland operators do exist on any graded Lie group (not necessarily stratified).

If the Rockland operator \mathcal{R} is formally self-adjoint, that is, $\mathcal{R}^* = \mathcal{R}$ as elements of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, then \mathcal{R} and $\pi(\mathcal{R})$ admit self-adjoint extensions on $L^2(G)$ and \mathcal{H}_π respectively, see [ch.3.B][16] or [15, §4.1.3]. We keep the same notation for their self-adjoint extensions. We denote by E and E_π their spectral measure:

$$\mathcal{R} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda dE(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(\mathcal{R}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda dE_\pi(\lambda).$$

We will be interested in the positive Rockland operators:

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{S}(G) \quad \int_G \mathcal{R}f(x) \overline{f(x)} dx \geq 0.$$

They are formally self-adjoint. One checks easily that the operator in (2.11) is positive. This shows that positive Rockland operators always exist on any graded Lie group. Note that if G is stratified and \mathcal{L} is a (left-invariant negative) sub-Laplacian as in (2.10), then it is customary to privilege $-\mathcal{L}$ as a positive Rockland operator.

The point 0 in the spectrum of a positive Rockland operator is negligible with respect to the spectral measure, see [22] or [15, Remark 4.2.8.4]. Consequently, one can define multiplier operators of \mathcal{R} on $(0, +\infty)$, the value of this multiplier function at 0 being negligible. The properties of the functional calculus of \mathcal{R} and of the group Fourier transform imply

Lemma 2.8. *Let \mathcal{R} be a positive Rockland operator of homogeneous degree ν and $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a measurable function. We assume that the domain of the operator $f(\mathcal{R}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda) dE(\lambda)$ contains $\mathcal{S}(G)$. Then for any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(G)$,*

$$(f(r^\nu \mathcal{R})\phi) \circ D_r = f(\mathcal{R})(\phi \circ D_r),$$

where ν denotes the homogeneous degree of \mathcal{R} , and in the sense of distribution

$$(2.12) \quad f(r^\nu \mathcal{R})\delta_0(x) = r^{-Q} f(\mathcal{R})\delta_0(r^{-1}x), \quad x \in G,$$

where $f(\mathcal{R})\delta_0$ denotes the right convolution kernel of $f(\mathcal{R})$.

Let us recall Hulanicki's Theorem, see [21] or [15, §4.5].

Theorem 2.9 (Hulanicki). *Let $|\cdot|$ be a quasi-norm on G , $s \geq 0$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. Then there exists $C > 0$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $f \in C^d(0, \infty)$, we have*

$$\int_G (1 + |x|)^s |X^\alpha f(\mathcal{R})\delta_0(x)|^p dx \leq C \sup_{\lambda > 0, \ell=0, \dots, d} (1 + \lambda)^d |f^{(\ell)}(\lambda)|,$$

provided that the supremum on the right-hand side is finite.

The same results with the right-invariant vector fields \tilde{X}_j 's instead of the left-invariant vector fields X_j 's hold.

Consequently, if f is a Schwartz function, that is, $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ (for instance in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$), then $f(\mathcal{R})\delta_0 \in \mathcal{S}(G)$.

We will also use the fact that any two positive Rockland operators are equivalent in the following sense (see [14] or [15, §4.4.5, especially Corollary 4.4.21]):

Proposition 2.10. • *If \mathcal{R} is a positive Rockland operator, then for any $s \geq 0$ the powers \mathcal{R}^s defined by spectral calculus are (unbounded) operators on $L^2(G)$ with domains containing $\mathcal{S}(G)$.*

• *Let \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 be two positive Rockland operators of homogeneous degrees ν_1 and ν_2 respectively. Then for any $s \geq 0$ we have*

$$\exists C > 0 \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}(G) \quad \|\mathcal{R}_1^{s/\nu_1} \phi\|_{L^2(G)} \leq C \|\mathcal{R}_2^{s/\nu_2} \phi\|_{L^2(G)}.$$

Note that Proposition 2.10 implies that if the hypothesis in (1.4) of Theorem 1.1 is satisfied for one positive Rockland operator then it is satisfied for all.

2.4. Difference operators. The difference operators are aimed at replacing the derivatives with respect to the Fourier variable in the Euclidean case.

If q is a continuous function on G , we define Δ_q via

$$\Delta_q \widehat{f}(\pi) = \mathcal{F}_G(qf)(\pi), \quad \pi \in \widehat{G},$$

for any function $f \in \mathcal{D}(G)$. As the group Fourier transform is injective and since $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is dense in $L^p(G)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, this defines the difference operator Δ_q as a (possibly) unbounded operator with domains in $L^2(\widehat{G})$ or $\mathcal{FL}^1(G)$ and values in $L^\infty(\widehat{G})$. In particular, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, we set

$$\Delta^\alpha := \Delta_{x^\alpha}.$$

Remark 2.11. Assuming q to be a continuous function with polynomial growth, one can define difference operators on $\mathcal{S}(G)$. Moreover, assuming further hypotheses on q , difference operators may be defined on the image of the group Fourier transform of more general distributional spaces on G where $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is not necessarily dense for instance on $\mathcal{F}_G^{-1}L^\infty(\widehat{G})$. In fact, in [15, Section 5.2.1], difference operators are defined in a slightly more general context.

The difference operators as defined above were described concretely in the case of the Heisenberg groups [15, Section 6.3], and one checks easily that they coincide with the difference-differentials operators of [8], see also [17] and [2, 3]. In the case of compact Lie groups, an intrinsic notion of difference operators can be defined even on symbols that are not Fourier transforms of distributions, see [12, 13]. On a general Lie group (even restricting oneself to the nilpotent class), to the authors' knowledge at the time of writing, there is not a more intrinsic way to define difference operators than the one above.

The difference operators satisfy the Leibniz rule [15, §5.2.2]:

$$(2.13) \quad \Delta^\alpha(\sigma_1\sigma_2) = \sum_{[\alpha_1]+[\alpha_2]=[\alpha]} c_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2} \Delta^{\alpha_1}\sigma_1 \Delta^{\alpha_2}\sigma_2,$$

where c_{α_1,α_2} are universal constants. By 'universal constants', we mean that they depend only on G and the choice of the basis $\{X_j\}_{j=1}^n$.

3. THE SOBOLEV SPACES ON \widehat{G}

The aim of this section is to study Sobolev-type spaces on \widehat{G} defined in the following way:

Definition 3.1. For each $s \geq 0$, we define $H^s(\widehat{G})$ as the space of measurable fields $\sigma = \{\sigma(\pi)\}$ such that $\sigma \in L^2(\widehat{G})$ and $\Delta_{(1+|\cdot|)^s}\sigma \in L^2(\widehat{G})$ where $|\cdot|$ is a quasi-norm on G .

This means that $H^s(\widehat{G})$ is the image via the group Fourier transform of the subspace $L^2(G, (1+|\cdot|)^{2s})$ of $L^2(G)$:

$$H^s(\widehat{G}) = \mathcal{F}_G(L^2(G, (1+|\cdot|)^{2s})).$$

We will call the spaces $H^s(\widehat{G})$ the Sobolev spaces on \widehat{G} . This vocabulary is justified by the properties stated and proved in this section. We start by showing that the Sobolev spaces on \widehat{G} are Hilbert spaces independent of the quasi-norm:

Proposition 3.2. *Let $s \geq 0$.*

- (1) *The space $H^s(\widehat{G})$ is independent of the quasi-norm $|\cdot|$.*
- (2) *Let $\sigma = \{\sigma(\pi), \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ be a μ -measurable field of operators and let $s \geq 0$. The following conditions are equivalent:*
 - $\sigma \in H^s(\widehat{G})$,
 - *there exists a quasi-norm $|\cdot|'$ such that $\mathcal{F}_G^{-1}\sigma \in L^2(G, (1+|\cdot|')^{2s})$,*
 - $\mathcal{F}_G^{-1}\sigma \in L^2(G, (1+|\cdot|')^{2s})$ *for any quasi-norm $|\cdot|'$,*
 - $\mathcal{F}_G^{-1}\sigma \in L^2(G, \omega_s^2)$ *for any continuous function $\omega_s : G \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ equivalent to $(1+|\cdot|)^s$ in the sense that*

$$(3.1) \quad \exists C > 0 \quad \forall x \in G \quad C^{-1}(1+|x|)^s \leq \omega_s(x) \leq C(1+|x|)^s,$$

for one (and then all) quasi-norm $|\cdot|$.

- (3) *Fixing a weight ω_s satisfying (3.1), the space $H^s(\widehat{G})$ is a Hilbert space when equipped with the sesquilinear form given via*

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)_{H^s} &:= (\Delta_{\omega_s}\sigma_1, \Delta_{\omega_s}\sigma_2)_{L^2(\widehat{G})} \\ &= \int_{\widehat{G}} \text{Tr}(\Delta_{\omega_s}\sigma_1(\pi) \Delta_{\omega_s}\sigma_2(\pi)^*) d\mu(\pi). \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding norm is given by

$$\|\sigma\|_{H^s, \omega_s} := \|\Delta_{\omega_s}\sigma\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})} = \|\omega_s \mathcal{F}_G^{-1}\sigma\|_{L^2(G)}.$$

Any two weights $\omega_s^{(1)}$ and $\omega_s^{(2)}$ satisfying (3.1) yield equivalent norms on $H^s(\widehat{G})$.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. For any ω_s satisfying (3.1), and any quasi-norm $|\cdot|$, we have $L^2(G, (1 + |\cdot|)^s) = L^2(G, \omega_s)$. If $|\cdot|'$ is another quasi-norm, $(1 + |\cdot|')^s$ is a continuous function satisfying (3.1) since two quasi-norms are equivalent by Proposition 2.1. This together with the isometry $\mathcal{F}_G : L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(\widehat{G})$ between Hilbert spaces imply the statement. \square

We may allow ourselves to denote the $H^s(\widehat{G})$ -norm by

$$\|\sigma\|_{H^s} := \|\sigma\|_{H^s, \omega_s},$$

when a function ω_s has been fixed.

The space $H^s(\widehat{G})$ is stable by taking the adjoint as one checks easily the following property: if $\sigma = \{\sigma(\pi), \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ is in $H^s(\widehat{G})$ then $\sigma^* = \{\sigma(\pi)^*, \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ is also in $H^s(\widehat{G})$ and

$$(3.2) \quad \|\sigma\|_{H^s, (1+|\cdot|)^s} = \|\sigma^*\|_{H^s, (1+|\cdot|)^s}.$$

We have the following inclusions and log-convexity.

Lemma 3.3. *The following continuous inclusions holds for $s_2 \geq s_1 \geq 0$.*

$$L^2(\widehat{G}) = H^0(\widehat{G}) \supset H^{s_1}(\widehat{G}) \supset H^{s_2}(\widehat{G}).$$

If s is between the two non-negative numbers s_1 and s_2 , then

$$\|\sigma\|_{H^s, \omega_s} \leq \|\sigma\|_{H^{s_1}, \omega_{s_1}}^\theta \|\sigma\|_{H^{s_2}, \omega_{s_2}}^{1-\theta},$$

having written $s = \theta s_1 + (1 - \theta)s_2$, with $\theta \in [0, 1]$, and fixed a quasi-norm $|\cdot|$ and $\omega_s = (1 + |\cdot|)^s$.

Proof. The inclusions follow readily from $(1 + |\cdot|)^{s_1} \leq (1 + |\cdot|)^{s_2}$ when $s_2 \geq s_1 \geq 0$. For the log-convexity, we may assume $\theta \neq 0, 1$. Let $\kappa = \mathcal{F}_G^{-1}\sigma \in L^2(\widehat{G})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma\|_{H^s, \omega_s}^2 &= \|\omega_s \kappa\|_{L^2(G)}^2 = \|(\omega_{s_1} \kappa)^{2\theta} (\omega_{s_2} \kappa)^{2(1-\theta)}\|_{L^1(G)} \\ &\leq \|\omega_{s_1}^{2\theta} \kappa\|_{L^p(G)} \|\omega_{s_2}^{2(1-\theta)} \kappa\|_{L^q(G)}, \end{aligned}$$

by Hölder's inequality with $p = 1/\theta$ and $q = 1/(1 - \theta)$. \square

The difference operators are continuous on the Sobolev spaces:

Lemma 3.4. *Let $s \geq 0$. Let q be a continuous function on G such that $q/\omega_s^{d/s}$ is bounded where $d \geq 0$ and ω_s is a continuous function satisfying (3.1). Then Δ_q maps continuously $H^{s+d}(\widehat{G})$ to $H^s(\widehat{G})$:*

$$\exists C > 0 \quad \forall \sigma \in H^{s+d}(\widehat{G}) \quad \|\Delta_q \sigma\|_{H^s} \leq C \|\sigma\|_{H^{s+d}}.$$

An example of such a function q is any d -homogeneous polynomial. In particular

$$\|\Delta_{x^\alpha} \sigma\|_{H^s} \leq C \|\sigma\|_{H^{s+[\alpha]}}.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.4. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_q \sigma\|_{H^s} &= \|q \omega_s \mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \sigma\|_{L^2(G)} \leq \|q/\omega_s^{d/s}\|_{L^\infty(G)} \|\omega_s^{\frac{d}{s}+1} \mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \sigma\|_{L^2(G)}, \\ &= \|q/\omega_s^{d/s}\|_{L^\infty(G)} \|\sigma\|_{H^s, \omega_{s'}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_{s'}$ is the continuous function $\omega_s^{\frac{d}{s}+1}$ which satisfies (3.1) with $s' = d + s$. \square

The Sobolev spaces with integer exponents admit an equivalent description:

Lemma 3.5. *If s is a common multiple of v_1, \dots, v_n , i.e. $s \in \nu_o \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$\sigma \in H^s(\widehat{G}) \iff \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, [\alpha] \leq s, \quad \Delta_{x^\alpha} \sigma \in L^2(\widehat{G}).$$

Moreover $\sum_{[\alpha] \leq s} \|\Delta_{x^\alpha} \cdot\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})}$ is an equivalent norm on $H^s(\widehat{G})$.

Proof of Lemma 3.5. Let $s \in \nu_o \mathbb{N}$. We consider the quasi-norm is $|\cdot| = |\cdot|_{\nu_o}$ given by (2.3) and the continuous function $\omega_s = (1 + |\cdot|^{2\nu_o})^{\frac{s}{2\nu_o}}$ which satisfies (3.1). Then ω_s^2 is a polynomial in x , and more precisely a linear combination of squared monomials:

$$\omega_s^2(x) = \sum_{[\alpha] \leq s} c_\alpha (x^\alpha)^2$$

for some coefficients (c_α) depending on s and G . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma\|_{H^s, \omega_s}^2 &= \|\omega_s \mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \sigma\|_{L^2(G)}^2 = \int_G \left| \sum_{[\alpha] \leq s} c_\alpha (x^\alpha)^2 \right| |\mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \sigma(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq \sum_{[\alpha] \leq s} |c_\alpha| \int_G |x^\alpha \mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \sigma(x)|^2 dx \leq C \sum_{[\alpha] \leq s} \|\Delta_{x^\alpha} \sigma\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have obtained

$$\|\sigma\|_{H^s, \omega_s} \leq C \sum_{[\alpha] \leq s} \|\Delta_{x^\alpha} \sigma\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})}.$$

The reverse inequality follows from Lemma 3.4. \square

Remark 3.6. • In Lemma 3.5, (x^α) may be replaced by any basis of homogeneous polynomials.

- The hypothesis of divisibility of s by v_1, \dots, v_n can not be removed in Lemma 3.5. Indeed let us fix an index $\ell = 1, \dots, n$, and construct a sequence of symbols σ_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, via $\mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \sigma_k(x) = 1_{|x_\ell - k| < 1} \prod_{j \neq \ell} 1_{|x_j| < 1}$. One checks easily that

$$\|\sigma_k\|_{H^s} \asymp k^s \quad \text{but} \quad \sum_{[\alpha] \leq s} \|\Delta_{x^\alpha} \sigma_k\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})} \asymp \sum_{[\alpha] \leq s} k^{\alpha_\ell}.$$

If s is a positive integer which is not divisible by v_ℓ then $k^{-s} \sum_{[\alpha] \leq s} k^{\alpha_\ell} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

The following analogue of the Sobolev embedding holds as an easy consequence of Corollary 2.3 with (2.7):

Lemma 3.7. *If $\sigma \in H^s(\widehat{G})$ with $s > Q/2$ then $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_G L^1(G)$ and*

$$\sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \|\sigma\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)} \leq \|\mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \sigma\|_{L^1(G)} \leq C \|\sigma\|_{H^s}.$$

As in the Euclidean case, we obtain an algebra for ‘point-wise multiplication’ in the following sense:

Lemma 3.8. *For any σ and τ in $H^s(\widehat{G})$, the product $\sigma\tau = \{\sigma(\pi)\tau(\pi), \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ satisfies (with possibly unbounded norms)*

$$\|\sigma\tau\|_{H^s} \leq C \left(\|\sigma\|_{H^s} \|\mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \tau\|_{L^1(G)} + \|\mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \sigma\|_{L^1(G)} \|\tau\|_{H^s} \right),$$

with a constant $C > 0$ independent of σ and τ .

Hence for $s > Q/2$, if $\sigma, \tau \in H^s(\widehat{G})$ then $\sigma\tau \in H^s(\widehat{G})$, and $H^s(\widehat{G})$ is a (non-commutative) algebra.

Note that Lemma 2.2 only yields

$$(3.3) \quad \|\sigma\tau\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|\mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \tau\|_{L^1(\omega_s)} \|\sigma\|_{H^s}$$

when a quasi-norm $|\cdot|$ and $\omega_s = (1 + |\cdot|)^s$ with $s \geq 0$ have been fixed. This does not prove Lemma 3.8.

Proof of Lemma 3.8. We fix a quasi-norm $|\cdot|$ and $\omega_s = (1 + |\cdot|)^s$. As a quasi-norm satisfies a triangular inequality (see Proposition 2.1), one checks easily

$$(3.4) \quad \exists C = C_{s,|\cdot|} \quad \forall x, y \in G \quad \omega_s(x) \leq C (\omega_s(xy^{-1}) + \omega_s(y)).$$

Let $\sigma, \tau \in H^s(\widehat{G})$ and $f := \mathcal{F}_G^{-1}\sigma$, $g := \mathcal{F}_G^{-1}\tau$. Then

$$\|\sigma\tau\|_{H^s, \omega_s} = \|\omega_s g * f\|_{L^2(G)}.$$

The inequality in (3.4) implies

$$\omega_s |g * f| \leq C ((\omega_s |g|) * |f| + |g| * (\omega_s |f|)),$$

thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_s g * f\|_{L^2(G)} &\leq C (\|(\omega_s |g|) * |f|\|_{L^2(G)} + \| |g| * (\omega_s |f|) \|_{L^2(G)}) \\ &\leq C (\|\omega_s |g|\|_{L^2(G)} \|f\|_{L^1(G)} + \|g\|_{L^1(G)} \|\omega_s f\|_{L^2(G)}), \end{aligned}$$

by Young's inequality (see (2.1)). With Lemma 3.7, the statement follows easily. \square

4. THE MIHLIN-HÖRMANDER CONDITION ON \widehat{G}

In the Euclidean case, the Mihlin-Hörmander condition which implies that a function is an L^p -multiplier for all $p > 1$ is the membership in Sobolev spaces locally uniformly, see the introduction. The aim of this section is to define the membership in Sobolev spaces locally uniformly in our context and express our main multiplier theorem in term of this membership. This requires first to define dilations on \widehat{G} .

4.1. Dilations on \widehat{G} . In this section, we define dilations on the set \widehat{G} . This is possible thanks to the following lemma whose proof is a routine exercise of representation theory:

Lemma 4.1. (1) *If π is a unitary irreducible representation of G and $r > 0$ then setting*

$$(4.1) \quad r \cdot \pi(x) = \pi(rx) \quad , \quad x \in G,$$

we have defined a unitary irreducible representation $r \cdot \pi$ of G .

(2) *If π_1 and π_2 are two equivalent unitary irreducible representations of G , then, for any $r > 0$, $r \cdot \pi_1$ and $r \cdot \pi_2$ are two equivalent unitary irreducible representations of G .*

Definition 4.2. For any $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ and any $r > 0$, Equation (4.1) defines a new class

$$r \cdot \pi := D_r(\pi) \in \widehat{G}.$$

These dilations define an action of \mathbb{R}^{*+} on \widehat{G} which interacts nicely with the group structure:

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ and $r > 0$.*

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$r \cdot \pi(X^\alpha) = r^{[\alpha]} \pi(X^\alpha).$$

For any positive Rockland operator \mathcal{R} of degree $\nu_{\mathcal{R}}$,

$$r \cdot \pi(\mathcal{R}) = r^{\nu_{\mathcal{R}}} \pi(\mathcal{R})$$

and if $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ then (spectral definitions)

$$f(r \cdot \pi(\mathcal{R})) = f(r^{\nu_{\mathcal{R}}} \pi(\mathcal{R})).$$

If $\kappa \in L^2(G) \cup L^1(G)$ then

$$(r \cdot \pi)(\kappa) = \pi(r^{-Q} \kappa(r^{-1} \cdot)).$$

Consequently,

$$\Delta^\alpha \{\widehat{\kappa}(r \cdot \pi)\} = r^{[\alpha]} (\Delta^\alpha \widehat{\kappa})(r \cdot \pi).$$

The proof of Lemma 4.3 is left to the reader.

The Sobolev spaces on \widehat{G} are invariant under these dilations:

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\sigma \in L^2(\widehat{G})$ and $s \geq 0$. If $\sigma \in H^s(\widehat{G})$ then $\sigma \circ D_r = \{\sigma(r \cdot \pi), \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ is in $H^s(\widehat{G})$ for all $r > 0$. Furthermore let us fix a quasi-norm $|\cdot|$ and $\omega_s = (1 + |\cdot|)^s$. For every $r > 0$ and $\sigma \in L^2(\widehat{G})$, we have*

$$\|\sigma \circ D_r\|_{H^s, \omega_s} \leq (1+r)^s r^{-\frac{Q}{2}} \|\sigma\|_{H^s, \omega_s}.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.4. Lemma 4.3 and the change of variable D_r yield

$$\|\sigma \circ D_r\|_{H^s, \omega_s} = \|\omega_s r^{-Q} (\mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \sigma) \circ D_{r^{-1}}\|_{L^2} = r^{-\frac{Q}{2}} \|(1+r|\cdot|)^s \mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \sigma\|_{L^2}.$$

We conclude with $(1+r|\cdot|)^s \leq (1+r)^s \omega_s$. \square

4.2. Fields locally uniform in $H^s(\widehat{G})$. The aim of this section is to define and study the Banach space of fields locally uniformly in $H^s(\widehat{G})$. In the Euclidean case, the membership in Sobolev spaces locally uniformly is the Mihlin-Hörmander condition which implies that a function is an L^p -multiplier for all $p > 1$. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 4.5. Let $s \geq 0$. We say that a measurable field of operators $\sigma = \{\sigma(\pi), \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ is *uniformly locally* in $H^s(\widehat{G})$ on the right, respectively on the left, when there exist a positive Rockland operator \mathcal{R} and a non-zero function $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ such that the quantity

$$(4.2) \quad \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R,\eta,\mathcal{R}}^s} := \sup_{r>0} \|\{\sigma(r \cdot \pi) \eta(\pi(\mathcal{R})), \pi \in \widehat{G}\}\|_{H^s}$$

or respectively

$$(4.3) \quad \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,L,\eta,\mathcal{R}}^s} := \sup_{r>0} \|\{\eta(\pi(\mathcal{R})) \sigma(r \cdot \pi), \pi \in \widehat{G}\}\|_{H^s},$$

is finite.

Our first task will be to show that, as in the Euclidean case, this definition does not depend on the cut-off function. Here we also have to prove that it does not depend on the Rockland operator. This is the object of the following statement which will be proved in Section 5.1.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $\sigma = \{\sigma(\pi), \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ be a measurable field of operators such that $\|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R,\eta,\mathcal{R}}^s}$ is finite for some positive Rockland operator \mathcal{R} and $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty) \setminus \{0\}$. Then for any positive Rockland operator \mathcal{S} and any function $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$, the quantity $\|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R,\zeta,\mathcal{S}}^s}$ is finite and there exists a constant $C > 0$ (depending on \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S} and η, ζ but not on σ) such that*

$$\|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R,\zeta,\mathcal{S}}^s} \leq C \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R,\eta,\mathcal{R}}^s}.$$

We have a similar result for the left case, and we denote by $H_{l.u.,R}^s(\widehat{G})$, resp. $H_{l.u.,L}^s(\widehat{G})$, the space of measurable fields which are uniformly locally in $H^s(\widehat{G})$ on the right, respectively on the left. Furthermore these spaces are Banach spaces with the following properties:

Corollary 4.7. (1) *If $s \geq 0$, the space $H_{l.u.,R}^s(\widehat{G})$ is a Banach space when equipped with any equivalent norm $\|\cdot\|_{H_{l.u.,R,\eta,\mathcal{R}}^s}$, where $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ is non-zero and \mathcal{R} is a positive Rockland operator.*

(2) *We have the continuous inclusion*

$$H_{l.u.,R}^{s_1}(\widehat{G}) \subset H_{l.u.,R}^{s_2}(\widehat{G}), \quad s_1 \geq s_2.$$

(3) *If $\sigma \in H_{l.u.,R}^s(\widehat{G})$ and $r_o > 0$, then $\sigma \circ D_{r_o} \in H_{l.u.,R}^s(\widehat{G})$ satisfies*

$$\|\sigma \circ D_{r_o}\|_{H_{l.u.,R,\eta,\mathcal{R}}^s} = \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R,\eta(r_o^{-1}\cdot),\mathcal{R}}^s}.$$

(4) If $s > Q/2$, we have the continuous inclusion of Sobolev type:

$$H_{l.u.,R}^s \subset L^\infty(\widehat{G})$$

We have similar statements for the left case.

This corollary will be proved in Section 5.2. We can already point out that taking the adjoint provides the link between the left and right cases:

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\sigma = \{\sigma(\pi), \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ be a μ -measurable field of operators and $s \geq 0$. Then*

$$\sigma \in H_{l.u.,R}^s(\widehat{G}) \iff \sigma^* \in H_{l.u.,L}^s(\widehat{G}),$$

and in this case

$$\|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R}^s, \eta, \mathcal{R}} = \|\sigma^*\|_{H_{l.u.,L}^s, \eta, \mathcal{R}}.$$

We can reverse the rôle of left and right.

Lemma 4.8 follows readily from (3.2).

The following statement gives sufficient conditions for the membership in $H_{l.u.,R}^s(\widehat{G})$ and $H_{l.u.,L}^s(\widehat{G})$.

Proposition 4.9. *Let $\sigma = \{\sigma(\pi), \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ be a μ -measurable field of operators and $s \geq 0$. Let \mathcal{R} be a positive Rockland operator and let $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ be non-zero.*

(Left): *If $\pi(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha]}{\nu}} \Delta^\alpha \sigma \in L^\infty(\widehat{G})$ for all $|\alpha| \leq N$ for some positive integer $N \in \mathbb{N}$ divisible by v_1, \dots, v_n , then $\sigma \in H_{l.u.,L}^N(\widehat{G})$ and*

$$\|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,L}^N, \eta, \mathcal{R}} \leq C \sum_{[\alpha] \leq N} \sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \|\pi(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha]}{\nu}} \Delta^\alpha \sigma(\pi)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)},$$

where the constant $C > 0$ does not depend on σ .

(Right): *If $\Delta^\alpha \sigma \pi(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha]}{\nu}} \in L^\infty(\widehat{G})$ for all $|\alpha| \leq N$ for some positive integer $N \in \mathbb{N}$ divisible by v_1, \dots, v_n , then $\sigma \in H_{l.u.,R}^N(\widehat{G})$ and*

$$\|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R}^N, \eta, \mathcal{R}} \leq C \sum_{[\alpha] \leq N} \sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \|\Delta^\alpha \sigma(\pi) \pi(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha]}{\nu}}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)},$$

where the constant $C > 0$ does not depend on σ .

Proposition 4.9 will be shown in Section 5.3. Note that in this statement above, the meaning of $\Delta^\alpha \sigma(\pi)$ requires the slightly more general definition of difference operator alluded to in Remark 2.11.

Remark 4.10. The suprema in Proposition 4.9 are independent of a choice of a positive Rockland operator, see Propositions 2.10 and 4.6. Moreover, the condition described in Proposition 4.9 is invariant under dilation by Part (3) of Corollary 4.7 and for the suprema involved in Proposition 4.9, by Lemma 4.3 and the following calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha]}{\nu}} \Delta^\alpha(\sigma \circ D_{r_o})(\pi) &= r_o^{[\alpha]} \pi(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha]}{\nu}} \Delta^\alpha(\sigma)(r_o \cdot \pi) \\ &= (r_o \cdot \pi)(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha]}{\nu}} \Delta^\alpha(\sigma)(r_o \cdot \pi) = \pi_1(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha]}{\nu}} \Delta^\alpha \sigma(\pi_1), \end{aligned}$$

with $\pi_1 = r_o \cdot \pi$. Therefore

$$(4.4) \quad \sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \|\pi(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha]}{\nu}} \Delta^\alpha(\sigma \circ D_{r_o})(\pi)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)} = \sup_{\pi_1 \in \widehat{G}} \|\pi_1(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha]}{\nu}} \Delta^\alpha \sigma(\pi_1)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_1})}.$$

4.3. The main result. The main result of this article is Theorem 1.2 which we now rephrase as:

Theorem 4.11. *Let G be a graded nilpotent Lie group. If $\sigma = \{\sigma(\pi), \pi \in \widehat{G}\} \in H_{l.u.,R}^s(\widehat{G}) \cap H_{l.u.,L}^s(\widehat{G})$ for some $s > Q/2$ then the corresponding operator $T = T_\sigma$ is bounded on $L^p(G)$ for any $1 < p < \infty$. Furthermore,*

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^p(G))} \leq C \max \left(\|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R}^s, \eta, \mathcal{R}}, \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,L}^s, \eta, \mathcal{R}} \right),$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of σ but may depend on p, s, G and a choice of $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ and a positive Rockland operator \mathcal{R} .

By Proposition 4.9, Theorem 4.11 implies Theorem 1.1.

The hypotheses and the conclusion of Theorems 4.11 and 1.1 are ‘dilation-invariant’ and do not depend of a choice of a Rockland operator or a function η , see Remark 4.10 and Corollary 4.7.

Theorem 4.11 is proved in Section 5.4 and its proof yields the following more precise version:

Corollary 4.12. *Let G be a graded nilpotent Lie group. Let $\sigma = \{\sigma(\pi), \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ be a μ -measurable field of operators in $L^2(\widehat{G})$ and let T_σ be the corresponding Fourier multiplier operator on $\mathcal{S}(G)$.*

- (1) *If σ is in $H_{l.u.,R}^s$ or $H_{l.u.,L}^s$ for some $s > Q/2$, then T is bounded on $L^2(G)$ with*

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(G))} = \sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \|\sigma(\pi)\|_{op} \leq C_2 \begin{cases} \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R}^s} \\ \text{or} \\ \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,L}^s} \end{cases},$$

respectively, and C_2 a constant independent of σ .

- (2) *If $\sigma \in H_{l.u.,R}^s$ for some $s > Q/2$ then T is of weak-type L^1 . Moreover there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ independent of σ , such that*

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{S}(G) \quad \forall \alpha > 0 \quad |\{x : |Tf(x)| > \alpha\}| \leq C_1 \frac{\|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R}^s}}{\alpha} \|f\|_{L^1(G)}.$$

For each $p \in (1, 2)$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ independent of σ , such that

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{S}(G) \quad \|Tf\|_{L^p} \leq C_p \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R}^s} \|f\|_{L^p(G)}.$$

- (3) *If $\sigma \in H_{l.u.,L}^s$ for some $s > Q/2$ then T^* is of weak-type L^1 . Moreover there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ independent of σ , such that*

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{S}(G) \quad \forall \alpha > 0 \quad |\{x : |T^*f(x)| > \alpha\}| \leq C_1 \frac{\|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,L}^s}}{\alpha} \|f\|_{L^1(G)}.$$

For each $p \in (2, \infty)$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ independent of σ , such that

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{S}(G) \quad \|Tf\|_{L^p} \leq C_p \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,L}^s} \|f\|_{L^p(G)}.$$

In the statement above, $\|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R}^s}$ denotes a choice of norms $\|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R}^s, \mathcal{R}, \eta}$ and similarly of the left case. The constants in the statement depends on this choice.

5. PROOFS

Here we give the proofs of earlier statements: Proposition 4.6 in Section 5.1, Corollary 4.7 in Section 5.2, Proposition 4.9 in Section 5.3

5.1. Proof of Proposition 4.6. Let η and \mathcal{R} be fixed as in Proposition 4.6. We may assume η real valued (otherwise we consider separately $\operatorname{Re} \eta$ and $\operatorname{Im} \eta$). Let $c_0 > 0$ such that $2^{c_0} I$ intersects I where I is an open interval inside the support of η . For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$\eta_j(\lambda) = \eta(2^{-c_0 j} \lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(\lambda) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_j^2(\lambda).$$

One checks easily that α is constantly 0 on $(-\infty, 0]$ and that it is smooth and valued in $(0, +\infty)$ on $(0, +\infty)$. Furthermore,

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, j \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \alpha(2^{j c_0} \lambda) = \alpha(\lambda), \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \lambda > 0 \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\eta_j^2}{\alpha}(\lambda) = 1,$$

and $I_{\mathcal{H}_\pi} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\eta_j^2}{\alpha}(\pi(\mathcal{R}))$ with convergence in the Strong Operator Topology of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$. Hence, we have

$$\|\sigma(r \cdot \pi) \zeta(\pi(\mathcal{S}))\|_{H^s} \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} E_j \quad \text{where} \quad E_j := \|\sigma(r \cdot \pi) \frac{\eta_j^2}{\alpha}(\pi(\mathcal{R})) \zeta(\pi(\mathcal{S}))\|_{H^s}.$$

Case $j \geq 0$: By (3.3), we have

$$E_j \lesssim \|\sigma(r \cdot \pi) \eta_j(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\|_{H^s} \|\mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \frac{\eta_j}{\alpha}(\pi(\mathcal{R})) \zeta(\pi(\mathcal{S}))\|_{L^1(\omega_s)}.$$

Lemma 4.3 yields $\|\sigma(r \cdot \pi) \eta_j(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\|_{H^s} \lesssim 2^{j c_0 Q / (2\nu_{\mathcal{R}})} \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u., R}^s, \eta, \mathcal{R}}$, while by Lemma 2.2 the $L^1(\omega_s)$ -norm is

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\eta_j}{\alpha}(\pi(\mathcal{R})) \pi(\mathcal{R})^{-N} \right\}\|_{L^1(\omega_s)} \|\mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \left\{ \pi(\mathcal{R})^N \zeta(\pi(\mathcal{S})) \right\}\|_{L^1(\omega_s)} \\ &= 2^{-j c_0 N} \left\| \frac{\lambda^{-N} \eta_0}{\alpha} (2^{-j c_0} \mathcal{R}) \delta_0 \right\|_{L^1(\omega_s)} \|\mathcal{R}^N \zeta(\mathcal{S}) \delta_0\|_{L^1(\omega_s)} \end{aligned}$$

for a suitable positive integer N . By Hulanicki's Theorem (Theorem 2.9), $\zeta(\mathcal{S}) \delta_0 \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ so the second $L^1(\omega_s)$ -norm is finite, and the first one is $\lesssim 2^{j c_0 d}$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$ which depends on s, \mathcal{R}, G but not on N . Hence we have obtained $E_j \lesssim 2^{j c_0 (d - N + Q/2)} \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u., R}^s, \eta, \mathcal{R}}$ and, choosing an integer N such that $N > d + Q/2$, we have $\sum_{j \geq 0} E_j \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u., R}^s, \eta, \mathcal{R}}$.

Case $j < 0$: By Lemma 4.3 and (3.3), we have

$$E_j \lesssim 2^{-\frac{j c_0}{\nu_{\mathcal{R}}} (s - \frac{Q}{2})} \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u., R}^s, \mathcal{R}, \eta} \left\| \mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\eta}{\alpha}(\pi(\mathcal{R})) \zeta(2^{-j \frac{c_0 \nu_{\mathcal{S}}}{\nu_{\mathcal{R}}}} \cdot \pi(\mathcal{S})) \right\} \right\|_{L^1(\omega_s)}$$

By Lemma 2.2, the $L^1(\omega_s)$ -norm is

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \left\| \mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\eta}{\alpha}(\pi(\mathcal{R})) \pi(\mathcal{S})^{N'} \right\} \right\|_{L^1(\omega_s)} \left\| \mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \left\{ \pi(\mathcal{S})^{-N'} \zeta(2^{-j \frac{c_0 \nu_{\mathcal{S}}}{\nu_{\mathcal{R}}}} \cdot \pi(\mathcal{S})) \right\} \right\|_{L^1(\omega_s)} \\ &= \left\| \tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{N'} \frac{\eta}{\alpha}(\mathcal{R}) \delta_0 \right\|_{L^1(\omega_s)} 2^{j N' \frac{c_0}{\nu_{\mathcal{R}}}} \left\| (\lambda^{-N'} \zeta)(2^{j \frac{c_0}{\nu_{\mathcal{R}}}} \mathcal{S}) \right\|_{L^1(\omega_s)} \end{aligned}$$

for a suitable positive integer N' . By Hulanicki's Theorem (Theorem 2.9), $\frac{\eta}{\alpha}(\mathcal{R}) \delta_0 \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ so the first $L^1(\omega_s)$ -norm is finite, and the second one is $\lesssim 2^{|j| \frac{c_0}{\nu_{\mathcal{R}}} d'}$ for some $d' \in \mathbb{N}$ which depends on s, \mathcal{S}, G but not on N' . Hence we have obtained $E_j \lesssim 2^{j \frac{c_0}{\nu_{\mathcal{R}}} (-d' + N' + Q/2 - s)} \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u., R}^s, \eta, \mathcal{R}}$ and, choosing an integer N' such that $N' > d' - Q/2 + s$, $\sum_{j < 0} E_j \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u., R}^s, \eta, \mathcal{R}}$.

This concludes the proof of Proposition 4.6.

5.2. Proof of Corollary 4.7. If $\|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R}^s,\eta,\mathcal{R}} = 0$, then by Lemma 4.4,

$$\|\sigma\eta(r\pi(\mathcal{R}))\|_{H^s} = 0,$$

and the field $\sigma(\pi)\eta(r\pi(\mathcal{R}))$ is identically zero for any $r > 0$, since $H^s(\widehat{G})$ is a normed space. Choosing η such that e.g. $\eta \equiv 1$ on $[1, 2]$, this implies that for any $a, b \geq 0$, $\sigma(\pi)E_\pi[a, b] \equiv 0$ where E_π is the spectral resolution of $\pi(\mathcal{R})$ (or equivalently the group Fourier transform of the spectral resolution of \mathcal{R} , see [15]). Hence $\sigma = 0$.

Let $\{\sigma_\ell\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $H_{l.u.,R}^s(\widehat{G})$, that is,

$$(5.1) \quad \forall \epsilon > 0 \quad \exists \ell_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N} : \forall \ell_1, \ell_2 \geq \ell_\epsilon \quad \forall r > 0 \quad \|(\sigma_{\ell_1} - \sigma_{\ell_2})(r \cdot \pi)\eta(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\|_{H^s} \leq \epsilon.$$

This implies that $\{\sigma_\ell(r \cdot \pi)\eta(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space $H^s(\widehat{G})$ for each $r > 0$ fixed. For the same reasons as above, this shows that $\{\sigma_\ell E_\pi[a, b]\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space $H^s(\widehat{G})$. Hence it converges towards a limit $\sigma^{([a,b])}$ in $H^s(\widehat{G})$ with $\sigma^{([a,b])} = \sigma^{([c,d])} E_\pi[a, b]$ if $[a, b] \subset [c, d]$. This defines a field of operators σ which satisfies for each $r > 0$ fixed:

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_\ell(r \cdot \pi)\eta(\pi(\mathcal{R})) = \sigma(r \cdot \pi)\eta(\pi(\mathcal{R})).$$

Passing to the limit in (5.1), this shows that σ is also the limit of $\{\sigma_\ell\}$ in $H_{l.u.,R}^s(\widehat{G})$. This shows Part (1) of Corollary 4.7.

Part (2) follows from the similar inclusions for $H^s(\widehat{G})$, see Lemma 3.3. Part (3) is easily checked.

It remains to show Part (4). Let $s > Q/2$. We may choose $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ supported in $[1/2, 4]$ such that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and $\eta \equiv 1$ on $[1, 2]$. The properties of the functional calculus yield

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \|\sigma(\pi)E_\pi[r^{-1}, 2r^{-1}]\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)} &\leq \sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \|\sigma(r \cdot \pi)E[1, 2]\eta(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)} \\ &\leq \sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \|\sigma(r \cdot \pi)\eta(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)} \leq C \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R}^s,\eta,\mathcal{R}} \end{aligned}$$

by the Sobolev embedding of $H^s(\widehat{G})$, see Lemma 3.7. Here, the constant C is independent of $r > 0$, thus the supremum over $r > 0$ of $\sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \|\sigma(\pi)E_\pi[r^{-1}, 2r^{-1}]\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)}$ is finite. This shows that $\sigma \in L^\infty(\widehat{G})$. This also concludes the proof of Corollary 4.7.

5.3. Proof of Proposition 4.9. We will prove the second statement for the right spaces. We have already noted that the statement requires the slightly more general definition of difference operator alluded to in Remark 2.11. It is also the case for this proof.

Let $N \in \nu_o \mathbb{N}$, that is, a positive integer divisible by ν_1, \dots, ν_n . Let $\sigma \in L^\infty(\widehat{G})$ be such that $\Delta^\alpha \sigma \pi(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha]}{\nu}} \in L^\infty(\widehat{G})$ for all $|\alpha| \leq N$. By Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma(r \cdot \pi)\eta(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\|_{H^N(\widehat{G})} &\asymp \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\Delta_{x^\alpha}(\sigma(r \cdot \pi)\eta(\pi(\mathcal{R})))\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| \leq N} \|\Delta_{x^{\alpha_1}}(\sigma(r \cdot \pi)) \Delta_{x^{\alpha_2}}\eta(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})}, \end{aligned}$$

by the Leibniz formula, see (2.13). Inserting powers of $\pi(\mathcal{R})$, we have for each term above the estimate

$$\|\Delta_{x^{\alpha_1}}(\sigma(r \cdot \pi)) \Delta_{x^{\alpha_2}}\eta(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})} \leq \|\Delta_{x^{\alpha_1}}(\sigma(r \cdot \pi)) \pi(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha_1]}{\nu}}\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})} \|\pi(\mathcal{R})^{-\frac{[\alpha_1]}{\nu}} \Delta_{x^{\alpha_2}}\eta(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})}.$$

For the first term, by (4.4), we have

$$\|\Delta_{x^{\alpha_1}}(\sigma(r \cdot \pi)) \pi(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha_1]}{\nu}}\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})} = \sup_{\pi_1 \in \widehat{G}} \|\Delta^\alpha \sigma(\pi_1) \pi_1(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha]}{\nu}}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_1})}.$$

For the second term, we define $\eta_M \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ via $\eta_M(\lambda) = \lambda^{-M} \eta(\lambda)$ for an integer $M \in \mathbb{N}$ to be chosen. Using again the Leibniz formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(\mathcal{R})^{-\frac{[\alpha_1]}{\nu}} \Delta_{x^{\alpha_2}} \eta(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})} &\lesssim \sum_{[\alpha_3]+[\alpha_4]=[\alpha_2]} \|\pi(\mathcal{R})^{-\frac{[\alpha_1]}{\nu}} (\Delta_{x^{\alpha_3}} \pi(\mathcal{R})^M) \pi(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha_1]}{\nu}-M\nu+\alpha_3}\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})} \\ &\quad \|\pi(\mathcal{R})^{-\frac{[\alpha_1]}{\nu}+M\nu-\alpha_3} \Delta_{x^{\alpha_4}} \eta_M(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})}. \end{aligned}$$

By Hulanicki's Theorem, see Theorem 2.9, the function $x^{\alpha_4} \eta_M(\pi(\mathcal{R})) \delta_0$ is Schwartz. We fix M such that $-\frac{[\alpha_1]}{\nu} + M\nu - \alpha_3 \geq 0$ for all α_3 as above. In this way, $x^{\alpha_4} \eta_M(\pi(\mathcal{R})) \delta_0$ is in the domain of $\mathcal{R}^{-\frac{[\alpha_1]}{\nu}+M\nu-\alpha_3}$ by Proposition 2.10. Hence $\|\pi(\mathcal{R})^{-\frac{[\alpha_1]}{\nu}+M\nu-\alpha_3} \Delta_{x^{\alpha_4}} \eta_M(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})}$ is finite. For the $L^\infty(\widehat{G})$ term, easy computations [15, Lemma 5.2.9] show that $\Delta_{x^{\alpha_3}} \pi(\mathcal{R})^M$ is the image via \mathcal{F} of a homogeneous left-invariant differential operator T of degree $M\nu_0 - [\alpha_3]$. By [15, Theorem 4.4.16], $\mathcal{R}^{-\frac{[\alpha_1]}{\nu}} T \mathcal{R}^{\frac{[\alpha_1]}{\nu}-M\nu+\alpha_3}$ is bounded, thus $\|\pi(\mathcal{R})^{-\frac{[\alpha_1]}{\nu}} (\Delta_{x^{\alpha_3}} \pi(\mathcal{R})^M) \pi(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha_1]}{\nu}-M\nu+\alpha_3}\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})}$ is finite. We have therefore obtained that

$$\|\sigma(r \cdot \pi) \eta(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\|_{H^N(\widehat{G})} \lesssim \sum_{[\alpha_1] \leq N} \sup_{\pi_1 \in \widehat{G}} \|\Delta^\alpha \sigma(\pi_1) \pi_1(\mathcal{R})^{\frac{[\alpha]}{\nu}}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_1})}.$$

Taking the supremum over r on the left hand side proves Proposition 4.9 for the condition on the right. For the condition on the left, one can proceed in a similar way or obtain it by taking the adjoint from the condition on the right, see Lemma 4.8.

5.4. Proof of Theorem 4.11. Let $\sigma \in H_{l.u.,R}^s$ with $s > Q/2$. We want to show that the Fourier multiplier operator T_σ admits an L^p -bounded extension. We will follow the classical way to do this: we prove that T_σ is a Calderón-Zygmund operator on the space of homogeneous type G , see [5, Ch. III].

Let $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ be supported in $[1/2, 2]$, valued in $[0, 1]$ and satisfying $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_j \equiv 1$ on $(0, \infty)$ where $\eta_j(\lambda) = \eta(2^{-j}\lambda)$. For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi \in \widehat{G}$, we set

$$\sigma_j(\pi) = \sigma(2^{-j} \cdot \pi) \eta(\pi(\mathcal{R})).$$

We have $\sigma_j \in H^s(\widehat{G})$ with

$$(5.2) \quad \|\sigma_j\|_{H^s} \leq \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R}^s, \mathcal{R}, \eta}.$$

By Corollary 4.7 (4), σ and the σ_j 's are in $L^\infty(\widehat{G})$ and thus define Fourier multipliers

$$T : \phi \mapsto \mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \{\sigma \widehat{\phi}\} \quad \text{and} \quad T_j : \phi \mapsto \mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \{\sigma_j \widehat{\phi}\},$$

which are bounded on $L^2(G)$. Their convolution kernels are respectively $\kappa := \mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \sigma \in \mathcal{S}'(G)$, and $\kappa_j := \mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \sigma_j$ is in $L^2(G)$.

By Lemma 3.7, the function κ_j is integrable.

Remark 5.1. Even if it is not needed we can easily show that

$$\int_G \kappa_j(x) dx = 0.$$

Indeed denoting by $1_{\widehat{G}}$ the trivial representation, we have

$$\int_G \kappa_j(x) dx = \widehat{\kappa}_j(1_{\widehat{G}}) = \sigma_j(1_{\widehat{G}}) = \sigma(2^{-j} \cdot 1_{\widehat{G}}) \eta(1_{\widehat{G}}(\mathcal{R})).$$

Since the infinitesimal representation of $1_{\widehat{G}}$ is identically zero and η is supported away from 0, we have $\eta(1_{\widehat{G}}(\mathcal{R})) = 0$ and therefore the integral of κ_j is zero.

The sum $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_j(\mathcal{R})$ converges towards the identity in the strong operator norm on $L^2(G)$. Formally we have

$$T = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} T_j \eta_j(\mathcal{R}), \quad \sigma = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_j(2^j \pi), \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-Qj} \kappa_j(2^{-j} \cdot).$$

Let us prove that the last sum has a meaning and that the first Calderón-Zygmund condition is satisfied:

Lemma 5.2. *The function κ is locally integrable on $G \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover the sum $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-Qj} \kappa_j(2^{-j} \cdot)$ converges to κ in $L^1_{loc}(G \setminus \{0\})$.*

Proof. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be fixed. By the change of variable given by the dilation D_j , we have for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ that

$$\int_{2^m \leq |x| \leq 2^{m+1}} |2^{-Qj} \kappa_j(2^{-j} x)| dx = \int_{2^{m-j} \leq |x| \leq 2^{m-j+1}} |\kappa_j(x)| dx.$$

If $m - j \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2^{m-j} \leq |x| \leq 2^{m-j+1}} |\kappa_j(x)| dx &= \int_{2^{m-j} \leq |x| \leq 2^{m-j+1}} |\kappa_j(x)| (1 + |x|)^\epsilon (1 + |x|)^{-\epsilon} dx \\ &\lesssim 2^{(m-j)(-\epsilon)} \|\kappa_j(1 + |\cdot|)^\epsilon\|_{L^1(G)} \lesssim 2^{(j-m)\epsilon} \|\kappa_j(1 + |\cdot|)^s\|_{L^2(G)}, \end{aligned}$$

by Corollary 2.3, as long as $s - \epsilon > Q/2$.

If $m - j < 0$, we have by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2^{m-j} \leq |x| \leq 2^{m-j+1}} |\kappa_j(x)| dx &= \int_G (1 + |x|)^s |\kappa_j(x)| (1 + |x|)^{-s} 1_{2^{m-j} \leq |x| \leq 2^{m-j+1}} dx \\ &\leq \|\kappa_j(1 + |\cdot|)^s\|_{L^2(G)} \|(1 + |\cdot|)^{-s} 1_{2^{m-j} \leq |x| \leq 2^{m-j+1}}\|_{L^2(G)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\|(1 + |\cdot|)^{-s} 1_{2^{m-j} \leq |x| \leq 2^{m-j+1}}\|_{L^2(G)} \lesssim 2^{(m-j)\frac{Q}{2}},$$

and that by (5.2),

$$\|\kappa_j(1 + |\cdot|)^s\|_{L^2(G)} = \|\sigma_j\|_{H^s} \leq \|\sigma\|_{H^s_{l.u.,R}, \mathcal{R}, \eta}.$$

We choose $\epsilon = (s + Q/2)/2$. We can now sum over $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^m \leq |x| \leq 2^{m+1}} |2^{-Qj} \kappa_j(2^{-j} x)| dx &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{m-1} + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{m-1} 2^{\frac{Q}{2}(m-j)} \|\sigma\|_{H^s_{l.u.,R}, \mathcal{R}, \eta} + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} 2^{(j-m)\epsilon} \|\sigma\|_{H^s_{l.u.,R}, \mathcal{R}, \eta} \\ &\lesssim \|\sigma\|_{H^s_{l.u.,R}, \mathcal{R}, \eta}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\kappa = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-Qj} \kappa_j(2^{-j} \cdot)$ is integrable on $\{2^m \leq |x| \leq 2^{m+1}\}$. Therefore κ is locally integrable on $G \setminus \{0\}$. \square

Let us show the Calderón-Zygmund inequality on the kernel:

Lemma 5.3. *Let us rewrite*

$$K(x, y) = \kappa(y^{-1}x) \quad \text{and} \quad d(x, y) = |y^{-1}x|.$$

There exists $C > 0$ such that for any two distinct points $y, y' \in G$ we have:

$$\int_{d(x, y) > 4cd(y, y')} |K(x, y) - K(x, y')| dx \leq C \|\sigma\|_{H^s_{l.u.,R}, \mathcal{R}, \eta}.$$

For $K_*(x, y) = \kappa^*(y^{-1}x) = \bar{\kappa}(x^{-1}y)$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any two distinct points $y, y' \in G$ we have

$$\int_{d(x,y) > 4cd(y,y')} |K_*(x, y) - K_*(x, y')| dx \leq C \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,L}^s, \mathcal{R}, \eta}.$$

Here c denotes the constant in the triangular inequality for the chosen quasi-norm, see Proposition 2.1.

Proof of Lemma 5.3. Let $y, y' \in G$ be two distinct points. Let h be the point $h := y'^{-1}y$ in $G \setminus \{0\}$ and let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the integer such that $2^m \leq 4c|h| < 2^{m+1}$. After the change of variable $z = y^{-1}x$ we see that

$$\int_{d(x,y) > 4cd(y,y')} |K(x, y) - K(x, y')| dx = \int_{|z| > 4c|h|} |\kappa(z) - \kappa(hz)| dz \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} I_j,$$

where

$$I_j := \int_{|z| > 4c|h|} |2^{-jQ} \kappa_j(2^{-j}z) - 2^{-jQ} \kappa_j(2^{-j}(hz))| dz,$$

since $\kappa = \sum_j 2^{-jQ} \kappa(2^{-j} \cdot)$. Using the change of variable $2^{-j}z = w$, we have

$$I_j = \int_{2^j|w| > 4c|h|} |\kappa_j(w) - \kappa_j((2^{-j}h)w)| dw.$$

If $j < m$ we use

$$I_j \leq \int_{2^j|w| > 4c|h|} |\kappa_j(w)| dw + \int_{2^j|(2^{-j}h)^{-1}w'| > 4c|h|} |\kappa_j(w')| dw',$$

after the change of variable $w' = (2^{-j}h)w$. The triangular inequality implies that

$$2^j|(2^{-j}h)^{-1}w'| > 4c|h| \implies |w'| > 3c2^{-j}|h| \geq \frac{3}{4}2^{-j+m}.$$

Therefore,

$$I_j \leq 2 \int_{|w| > \frac{3}{4}2^{-j+m}} |\kappa_j(w)| dw \lesssim 2^{\epsilon(j-m)} \|(1 + |\cdot|)^\epsilon \kappa_j\|_{L^1(G)}.$$

By Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 3.7 with (5.2), we have

$$\|(1 + |\cdot|)^\epsilon \kappa_j\|_{L^1(G)} \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,L}^s, \mathcal{R}, \eta}.$$

So we have obtained in the case $j < m$,

$$I_j \lesssim 2^{\epsilon(j-m)} \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,L}^s, \mathcal{R}, \eta}.$$

If $j \geq m$, we use the L^1 -mean value theorem given in Lemma 2.4:

$$I_j \lesssim \sum_{\ell=1}^n |2^{-j}h|^{v_\ell} \|\tilde{X}_\ell \kappa_j\|_{L^1(G)} \lesssim 2^{m-j} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \|\tilde{X}_\ell \kappa_j\|_{L^1(G)}.$$

as $1 \leq v_1 \leq \dots \leq v_n$. By Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\|\tilde{X}_\ell \kappa_j\|_{L^1(G)} \lesssim \|(\tilde{X}_\ell \kappa_j)(1 + |\cdot|)^s\|_{L^2(G)}$$

and by the Plancherel formula (see (2.8))

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\tilde{X}_\ell \kappa_j)(1 + |\cdot|)^s\|_{L^2(G)} &= \|\sigma_j(\pi)\pi(X_\ell)\|_{H^s(\hat{G})} \\ &= \|\sigma(2^{-j} \cdot \pi)\eta(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\omega(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\pi(X_\ell)\|_{H^s(\hat{G})}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ is identically equal to 1 on the support of η . By Hulanicki's Theorem, cf. Theorem 2.9, the function $g_\ell := \mathcal{F}_G^{-1}\{\omega(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\pi(X_\ell)\}$ is Schwartz. By (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma(2^{-j} \cdot \pi)\eta(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\omega(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\pi(X_\ell)\|_{H^s(\widehat{G})} &= \|\sigma(2^{-j} \cdot \pi)\eta(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\widehat{g}_\ell(\pi)\|_{H^s(\widehat{G})} \\ &\lesssim \|\sigma(2^{-j} \cdot \pi)\eta(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\|_{H^s(\widehat{G})} \leq \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R}^s, \mathcal{R}, \eta}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have obtained in the case $j \geq m$ that

$$I_j \lesssim 2^{m-j} \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R}^s, \mathcal{R}, \eta}.$$

We can now go back to

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} I_j \lesssim \sum_{j < m} 2^{\epsilon(j-m)} \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R}^s, \mathcal{R}, \eta} + \sum_{j \geq m} 2^{m-j} \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R}^s, \mathcal{R}, \eta} \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{H_{l.u.,R}^s, \mathcal{R}, \eta}.$$

For K_* , after the change of variable $z = x^{-1}y$ and setting $h' = y^{-1}y'$, we see that

$$\int_{d(x,y) > 4cd(y,y')} |K_*(x,y) - K_*(x,y')| dx = \int_{|z| > 4c|h|} |\kappa(z) - \kappa(zh')| dz.$$

We proceed exactly in the same way as above using left invariant vector fields X_ℓ . \square

Hence the operator T satisfies the hypotheses of the Calderón-Zygmund theorem in the context of graded Lie groups, and more generally on spaces of homogeneous type cf. [5, Ch. III]. This implies Theorem 4.11 and the following proof of Corollary 4.12.

Proof of Corollary 4.12. Part (1) follows from Corollary 4.7. For Part (2), Lemmata 5.2 and 5.3 show that, if $\sigma \in H_{l.u.,R}^s$ for some $s > Q/2$, then κ is a Calderón-Zygmund kernel, see [5, Ch. III] or [15, §3.2.3]. We proceed in the same way for Part (3): using Lemma 4.8: if $\sigma \in H_{l.u.,L}^s$ for some $s > Q/2$, then κ^* is a Calderón-Zygmund kernel. As $T^* = T_{\sigma^*}$, this shows (3). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Alexopoulos, G., Spectral multipliers on Lie groups of polynomial growth, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **120**, 1994, No 3, pp 973–979.
- [2] Bahouri, H. and Chemin, JY and Danchin, R., Tempered distributions and Fourier transform on the Heisenberg group, *Ann. H. Lebesgue*, **1**, 2018, pp 1–46.
- [3] Bahouri, H. and Chemin, JY and Danchin, R., A frequency space for the Heisenberg group, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, **69**, 2019, No 1, pp 365–407.
- [4] Cardona, D. and Ruzhansky, M. Littlewood-Paley theorem, Nikolskii inequality, Besov spaces, Fourier and spectral multipliers on graded Lie groups, arXiv:1610.04701
- [5] Coifman, R. and Weiss, G., *Analyse harmonique non-commutative sur certains espaces homogènes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. **242** (1971), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York.
- [6] Coifman, R. and Weiss, G., Théorèmes sur les multiplicateurs de Fourier sur $SU(2)$ et \sum_2 , *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **271** (1970), pp A928–A930.
- [7] Coifman, R. and Weiss, G., Multiplier transformations of functions on $SU(2)$ and \sum_2 , Collection of articles dedicated to Alberto González Domínguez on his sixty-fifth birthday, *Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina*, **25** (1970/71), pp 145–166.
- [8] De Michele, L. and Mauceri, G., L^p multipliers on the Heisenberg group, *Michigan Math. J.* **26** (1979), No 3, pp 361–371.
- [9] Corwin, L. and Greenleaf, F., *Representations of nilpotent Lie groups and their applications. Part I*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. **18** (1990), Basic theory and examples, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [10] Dixmier, J., *C*-algebras*, Translated from the French by Francis Jellet, North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. **15** (1977), North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford.
- [11] ter Elst, A. F. M. and Robinson, Derek W., Spectral estimates for positive Rockland operators, in *Algebraic groups and Lie groups*, Austral. Math. Soc. Lect. Ser., **9** (1997), pp 195–213, Cambridge Univ. Press.
- [12] Fischer, V, Intrinsic pseudo-differential calculi on any compact Lie group, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **268**, 2015, No 11, pp 3404–3477.

- [13] Fischer, V. Differential structure on the dual of a compact Lie group, *to appear at Journal of Functional Analysis*.
- [14] Fischer, V. and Ruzhansky, M., Sobolev spaces on graded Lie groups, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, **67**, 2017, No 4, pp 1671–1723.
- [15] Fischer, V. and Ruzhansky, M., *Quantization on nilpotent Lie groups*, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. **314** (2016), Birkhäuser Basel.
- [16] Folland, G. and Stein, E., *Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups*, Mathematical Notes **28** (1982), Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo.
- [17] Geller, D., Fourier analysis on the Heisenberg group. I. Schwartz space, *J. Functional Analysis*, **36**, 1980, No 2, pp 205–254.
- [18] Hebisch, W. and Zienkiewicz, J., Multiplier theorem on generalized Heisenberg groups. II, *Colloq. Math.*, **69**, 1995, No 1, pp 29–36.
- [19] Helffer, B. and Nourrigat, J., Caractérisation des opérateurs hypoelliptiques homogènes invariants à gauche sur un groupe de Lie nilpotent gradué, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **4** (1979), 899–958.
- [20] Hörmander, L., Estimates for translation invariant operators in L^p spaces, *Acta Math.* **104** (1960), pp 93–140.
- [21] Hulanicki, A., A functional calculus for Rockland operators on nilpotent Lie groups, *Studia Math.* **78** (1984), No 3, pp 253–266.
- [22] Hulanicki, A. and Jenkins, J. W. and Ludwig, J., Minimum eigenvalues for positive, Rockland operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **94**, (1985), No 4, pp 718–720.
- [23] Martini, A. and Müller, D., Spectral multipliers on 2-step groups: topological versus homogeneous dimension, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **26**, 2016, No 2, pp 680–702.
- [24] Mihlin, S. G., On the multipliers of Fourier integrals, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)* **109** (1956), pp 701–703.
- [25] Mihlin, S. G. Fourier integrals and multiple singular integrals, *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. Ser. Mat. Meh. Astr.* **12** (1957), no. 7, pp 143–155.
- [26] Miller, K., Parametrices for hypoelliptic operators on step two nilpotent Lie groups, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **5** (1980), No 11, pp 1153–1184.
- [27] Müller, D. and Stein, E. M., On spectral multipliers for Heisenberg and related groups, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9), **73**, 1994, No 4, pp 413–440.
- [28] Müller, D. and Ricci, F. and Stein, E., Marcinkiewicz multipliers and multi-parameter structure on Heisenberg (-type) groups. II, *Math. Z.*, **221**, 1996, No 2, pp 267–291.
- [29] Rubin, R., Multipliers on the rigid motions of the plane and their relations to multipliers on direct products, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **59** (1976), No 1, pp 89–98.
- [30] Rivière, N. M., Singular integrals and multiplier operators, *Ark. Mat.* **9** (1971), pp 243–278.
- [31] Rothschild, L.P. and Stein, E. M., Hypoelliptic differential operators and nilpotent groups, *Acta Math.* **137** (1976), No 3-4, 247–320.
- [32] Ruzhansky, M. V. and Wirth, J., On multipliers on compact Lie groups, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **47**, (2013), No 1, pp 72–75.
- [33] Ruzhansky, M. V. and Wirth, J., L^p Fourier multipliers on compact Lie groups, *Math. Z.* **280** (2015), No 3-4, pp 621–642.
- [34] Taylor, M. E., *Noncommutative microlocal analysis. I*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **52** (313), 1984.
- [35] Strichartz, R., Multiplier transformations on compact Lie groups and algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **193** (1974), pp 99–110.
- [36] Vretare, L., On L_p Fourier multipliers on a compact Lie-group, *Math. Scand.* **35** (1974), pp 49–55.
- [37] Weiss, N., L^p estimates for bi-invariant operators on compact Lie groups, *Amer. J. Math.* **94** (1972), pp 103–118.
- [38] Weiss, N., A multiplier theorem for $SU(n)$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **59** (1976), No 2, pp 366–370.

VERONIQUE FISCHER: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF BATH, CLAVERTON DOWN, BATH BA2 7AY, UNITED KINGDOM
E-mail address v.c.m.fischer@bath.ac.uk

MICHAEL RUZHANSKY: GHENT UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KRIJGSLAAN 281, BUILDING S8, B 9000 GHENT, BELGIUM AND QUEEN MARY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, MILE END ROAD, LONDON E1 4NS, UNITED KINGDOM
E-mail address Michael.Ruzhansky@UGent.be